## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. CIV 14-0319 JB/KBM

LAURENCE LISA LEBRETON; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC., as Nominee for America's Wholesale Lender; and FNU LNU, Unknown Tenant (Real Name Unknown),

Defendants.

## ORDER<sup>1</sup>

**THIS MATTER** comes before the Court on the Notice of Motion and Verified Motion to Strike Documents 28, 29, 30, 31 BANA's Opposition to Motion to Strike Et Al, Motion to Dismiss for Promissory Estoppel, Breach of Contract, Unclean Hands, Rule 7 Violations, Lack of First Hand Knowledge, filed August 11, 2014 (Doc. 32)("Motion"). The Court held a hearing on March 18, 2015. For the reasons stated at the hearing, 2 the Court will deny the Motion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This Order disposes of the Notice of Motion and Verified Motion to Strike Documents 28, 29, 30, 31 BANA's Opposition to Motion to Strike Et Al, Motion to Dismiss for Promissory Estoppel, Breach of Contract, Unclean Hands, Rule 7 Violations, Lack of First Hand Knowledge, filed August 11, 2014 (Doc. 32). The Court may, however, at a later date issue a memorandum opinion more fully explaining the rationale for its decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Motion appears to be another attempt to respond to or attack the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NMRA 1-012(A) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), filed April 25, 2014 (Doc. 4)("MTD"), which Plaintiff Bank of America, N.A. filed and which the Court granted. Motions to strike under rule 12(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are properly directed at pleadings -- the specific documents enumerated in rule 7(a) -- and not at motions.

When the Court granted the MTD, however, it dismissed Lebreton's counterclaim without prejudice. She is therefore free to move the Court for leave to amend her Answer, No. D-101-CV-2012-00267 (N.M. Dist. Ct. July 18, 2012), to assert any counterclaims she might have.

IT IS ORDERED that the requests in the Notice of Motion and Verified Motion to Strike Documents 28, 29, 30, 31 BANA's Opposition to Motion to Strike Et Al, Motion to Dismiss for Promissory Estoppel, Breach of Contract, Unclean Hands, Rule 7 Violations, Lack of First Hand Knowledge, filed August 11, 2014 (Doc. 32), are denied.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Counsel and party:

David A. Ferrance Albuquerque, New Mexico

--and--

Rachel Chiado Little & Dranttel, PC Albuquerque, New Mexico

--and--

Jason C. Bousliman Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP Albuquerque, New Mexico

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Laurence Lisa Lebreton Santa Fe, New Mexico

Defendant pro se